

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,784	11/26/2003	Jit Fu Ang	01013.0105	9062
26712 HODGSON RU	7590 06/11/2007 JSS LLP		EXAM	INER
THE GUARAN	ITY BUILDING	WONG, LESLIE A		
140 PEARL STREET SUITE 100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BUFFALO, NY	7 14202-4040	1761		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/723,784	ANG ET AL.			
	omee Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication	Leslie Wong	1761			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sh	et with the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is a soins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMN 36(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire SIX (in cause the application to bec	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed B) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Dome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ap</u>	oril 2007.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 18-32</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 18-32</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideratio				
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		ed to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
11)□ ·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-					
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		101.04 011.06 / 101.01 01 101.11 1 10 102.			
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received s have been received ity documents have (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	I. I in Application No been received in this National Stage			
Attachmen			·			
2) 🔲 Notica 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Pape 5) Noti	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application er:			

Application/Control Number: 10/723,784

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 and 18-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gimmler et al (US 5925387) in view of Applicant's disclosure.

Gimmler et al teach a powdery anti-stick agent comprising calcium carbonate coated with wax in the amounts claimed, wherein an atomizer is used and a particle size of 30 microns or less is obtained (see entire document, especially the abstract, column 2, line 40 to column 3, line 26).

The claims differ as to the addition of the anti-caking composition to a high moisture food such as cheese.

Applicant discloses the conventional use of carbonates as anti-caking agents and the use of carbonates in cheese (see page 1 of the specification).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use the anti-stick agent of Gimmler et al in a cheese because the use of carbonates and anti-caking agents in cheeses is well-known and conventional in the art.

Applicant's arguments filed April 4, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,784

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant argues that Gimmler et al does not teach using coated calcium carbonate with a high moisture food.

Gimmler et al teach a powdery anti-stick agent comprising calcium carbonate coated with wax.

Applicant discloses and it is well-known in the art to that carbonates are used as anti-caking agents in cheese.

Once the art has recognized the use of a coated carbonate in one food as an anti-caking agent the manipulation and use in other food products would be no more than expected and well-within the skill of the art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

LAW June 8, 2007